



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/771,412	02/05/2004	Kazuma Aoki	118332	3848
25944 7590 07/06/2009 OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 320850 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850				
EXAMINER				
NAJEE-ULLAH, TARIQ S				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2453				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
07/06/2009		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/771,412

**Applicant(s)**

AOKI ET AL.

**Examiner**

TARIQ S. NAJEE-ULLAH

**Art Unit**

2453

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) TARIQ S. NAJEE-ULLAH.(3) Lenwood Faulcon Jr. (reg. no. 61,310).(2) Thu Ha Nguyen.(4) Kevin Gualano.

Date of Interview: 29 June 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 17 and 29.

Identification of prior art discussed: Shigenu.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the claim language, specifically "in a state that the portable operation member is inserted into the opening of the interface unit, that the portable operation member is directly operated by a user." Examiner encouraged further clarification of these limitations in the form of an amendment. Applicant also discussed the 112 rejections presented in the office action. Further consideration is necessary upon receipt of formal response.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/THUHA T. NGUYEN/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2453